

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE	RY (CI)			
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO	:			
AIDS ID#, 0112605, DA		ADDIVE: 2/20 DM	DED A D.T. 4.00 DM			
AIRS ID#: 0112605 DA	TE: <u>3/30/2007</u>	ARRIVE: <u>2:30 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>4:00 PM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: SOUTHERN GROUTS AND MORTARS						
FACILITY LOCATION: 1505 SW 2nd Place						
POMPANO BEACH 33069						
RESPONSIBLE OFFIC	TAL: RON PICOU	PHONE	: (954)943-2288			
CONTACT NAME: Patrick Oconnor		PHONE	::			
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTI	TLEMENT PERIOD: 4/7/2002 (effective date	/ 4/7/2007 e) (end date)			
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS	(check ☑ only one box)				
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))						
Stack Emissions	· //					
1. Were visible emis		this site visit according to EPA Me				
	62-297, F.A.C.)?					
controlled to the e	controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? Yes No					
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,						
unless such rate is unachievable in practice?						
to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)						
a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test?						
		atching rate representative of the no	ormal batching rate andYes No			
5. If emissions from	the weigh hopper (batcher) o	operation are controlled by a dust co	ollector, which is separate			
from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?						

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	le 🗌
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, 	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)					
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)					
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plan	nt take reasonable precautions to control unconfined				
emissions by:	-				
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, a	and yards, which shall include one or more of the follo				
		⊠Yes □ No			
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?					
	other paved areas under control of the owner/operator	☐Yes ☐ No			
		Tto ☐Yes ☐ No			
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation o					
particulate matter from stock piles?	[⊠Yes □ No			
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mit	tigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes No			
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURE	ES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.				
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	_				
1. Since the last inspection has there been	ŗ				
a) installation of any new process equipment ([out replacement? [∐Yes ⊠ No ∏Yes ⊠ No			
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially	ut replacement: L different than that noted on the most	res no			
		☐Yes ⊠ No			
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the					
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-	4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or				
local program office?	[□Yes ⊠ No			
	2/20/2007				
Elizabeth F. Susky	3/30/3007				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	-			
	3/30/3008				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	-			
COMMENTS: In a compliance inspection conducted by AQ	ND -toff (E. Suelay and I. Cienarae) operations at South	- Croute and			
Mortars was observed. This facility is a concrete batch plant t					
housekeeping was o.k and AQD staff informed the manager (1					
sweeping the yard. Mr. Oconnor stated that a new sweeper was en-route to the facility and they had plans to use it twice a day.					

The facility is scheduled to conduct its Visible Emissions testing in December, 2007. However, the permit is being renewed and reviewed by AQD staff. This may change the testing schedule.